



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/737,288	12	2/15/2003	Aaron Weinberg	CWRU-P01-019	6648		
28120	7590	12/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FISH & NE	AVE IP C	GROUP	WANG, L	WANG, LOUISE Z			
ROPES & G ONE INTER		L PLACE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
BOSTON, N	MA 02110	-2624	1648	1648			

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			on No.	Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Comments	10/737,28	8	WEINBERG, AARON						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Louise Wa	_	1648						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve cation. ory period will apply and wi 1, by statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 15 December 2	003.							
)☐ This action is n								
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims				,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the app	olication.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b)	objected to by the l	Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage. 									
	•	· •		ed in this National	Stage.					
* 0	application from the Internationa see the attached detailed Office action f	•	, ,,	a d						
	ree the attached detailed Office action i	or a list of the certi	ned copies not receive	su.						
Attachmen	t(s)									
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate) ₋ 152\					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-132)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a method for inhibiting HIV entry into a cell, or HIV infection and the contraction of HIV infection in a subject, comprising contacting the cell with an effective amount of an agent, or administering to the subject an effective amount of an agent, classified in class 424, subclass 185.1.
- II. Claims 1,2, and 20-24, drawn to a method for inhibiting HIV infection and the contraction of HIV infection in a subject, comprising administering to the subject an effective amount of an agent in combination with an additional antiviral agent, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.
- III. Claims 25-34, drawn to a method of identifying a BD-inducing agent, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IV. Claims 35-39, drawn to a method of identifying agents that potentiate the interaction between a BD-polypeptide and a chemokine receptor, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

For each of inventions I-IV above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 U.S.C. §121. Therefore, election is required of one of inventions I-IV

and one amino acid sequence SEQ ID NO with one encoding nucleic acid sequence SEQ ID NO.

Page 3

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-IV are methods with different modes of operation, with respect to starting materials, physiological mechanisms, protocol procedures, and end products; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.

Inventions of SEQ ID NO: 1-18 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions represent structurally different polypeptides and the polynucleotides encoding them. Therefore, where structural identity is required, such as for hybridization or expression, the different sequences have different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter, and require non-coextensive literature and sequence searches even though in some cases the classification is shared, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Should Applicant elect Group I or II, Application is further required to elect one species for each of the following genus:

- (A) A route of administration as exemplified by claims 14-16;
- (B) A site of administration as exemplified by claim 17 and 18;
- (C) A formulation of the agent as exemplified by claim 19.

Should Applicant elect Group II, Application is additionally required to elect one antiviral agent as exemplified by claim 21.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Remarks

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louise Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9am-5pm.

Louise Wang, Ph.D. Patent Examiner 1 December 2005

JEFFREY STUCKER PRIMARY EXAMINER